

**In the Matter of the**  
**FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS ACT, RSBC 1996, c.141**  
(the “Act”)

**and the**  
**INSURANCE COUNCIL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA**  
(“Council”)

**and**  
**STEPHANIE GALPIN**  
(the “Former Licensee”)

**ORDER**

As Council made an intended decision on February 26, 2019, pursuant to sections 231 and 236 of the Act; and

As Council, in accordance with section 237 of the Act, provided the Former Licensee with written reasons and notice of the intended decision dated May 6, 2019; and

As the Former Licensee has not requested a hearing of Council’s intended decision within the time period provided by the Act;

Under authority of sections 231 and 236 of the Act, Council orders that the Former Licensee is fined \$500.00, due and payable by **October 9, 2019**.

This order takes effect on the **11<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2019**.



---

Lesley Maddison  
Chairperson, Insurance Council of British Columbia

## **INTENDED DECISION**

**of the**

### **INSURANCE COUNCIL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA**

(“Council”)

**respecting**

**Stephanie Galpin**

(the “Former Licensee”)

Pursuant to section 232 of the *Financial Institutions Act* (the “Act”), Council conducted an investigation to determine whether the Former Licensee acted in compliance with the requirements of the Act.

As part of Council’s investigation, on January 15, 2019, a Review Committee (the “Committee”) met to discuss the allegation(s) that the Former Licensee failed to complete the Council Rules course prior to making their 2018 annual filing.

The Committee was comprised of three voting members and one non-voting member of Council. Prior to the Committee’s meeting, an investigation report was distributed to the Committee for review. A discussion of this report took place at the meeting. Having reviewed the investigation materials, the Committee prepared a report for Council.

The Committee’s report, along with the aforementioned investigation report, were reviewed by Council at its February 26, 2019 meeting, where it was determined the matter should be disposed of in the manner set out below.

#### **Process**

Pursuant to section 237 of the Act, Council must provide written notice to the Former Licensee of the action it intends to take under sections 231 and 236 of the Act before taking any such action. The Former Licensee may then accept Council’s decision or request a formal hearing. This intended decision operates as written notice of the action Council intends to take against the Former Licensee.

#### **Facts**

The Former Licensee obtained a general insurance licence from Council on November 15, 2017 and submitted their annual filing to Council in accordance with Council Rule 4(4)(b). In making the 2018 annual filing, the Former Licensee acknowledged compliance with all

Council Rules, including completion of the minimum continuing education (“CE”) requirements.

As an individual who obtained a licence between March 1, 2017 and February 28, 2018, the Former Licensee was required, as part of the CE requirements, to complete the Council Rules course, which is available from the Insurance Brokers Association of British Columbia. Notification of this requirement was provided to the Former Licensee when the licence was issued by Council. In addition, Council sent emails on April 19, 2018, May 3, 2018 and May 17, 2018 to remind the Former Licensee of the requirement.

As Council had no record of the Former Licensee completing the Council Rules course as required, the Former Licensee was given the opportunity to provide an explanation. The Former Licensee did not provide an explanation. As of February 26, 2019, the Former Licensee had not completed the course.

### **Analysis**

Council considered the actions of the Former Licensee, as well as their submission. Council found that the Former Licensee breached Council’s Rule 7(5) by failing to complete the Council Rules course as required.

Based on the evidence before it, Council concluded that the Former Licensee, knew or ought to have known of their requirement to complete the course before completing their annual filing. After reviewing the Former Licensee’s submission, Council could not identify sufficient reasons they did not complete the course prior to the deadline. In addition, Council sent emails on April 19, 2018, May 3, 2018 and May 17, 2018 to remind the Former Licensee of the requirement. Despite having been provided instruction on how to complete the course, they did not.

Council finds the Former Licensee’s failure to comply with Council Rule 7(5) warrants disciplinary action and has concluded that a fine, as well as a requirement for the Former Licensee to demonstrate that the Council Rules course has been completed, is appropriate in the circumstances.

### **Intended Decision**

Pursuant to sections 231 and 236 of the Act, Council made an intended decision to fine the Former Licensee \$500.00.

Intended Decision  
Stephanie Galpin  
LIC-2017-0007003-R02  
May 6, 2019  
Page 3 of 4

The Former Licensee is advised that should the intended decision become final, the fine will be due and payable within 90 days of the date of the order. Council will not consider a licence application from the Former Licensee until such time as the fine is paid and the Course is completed.

Subject to the Former Licensee's right to request a hearing before Council pursuant to section 237 of the Act, the intended decision will take effect after the expiry of the hearing period.

### **Right to a Hearing**

If the Former Licensee wishes to dispute Council's findings or its intended decision, the Former Licensee may have legal representation and present a case at a hearing before Council. Pursuant to section 237(3) of the Act, to require Council to hold a hearing, the Former Licensee must give notice to Council by delivering to its office written notice of this intention **within fourteen (14) days of receiving this intended decision**. A hearing will then be scheduled for a date within a reasonable period of time from receipt of the notice. Please direct written notice to the attention of the Executive Director of Council, Janet Sinclair.

If the Former Licensee does not request a hearing **within fourteen (14) days of receiving this intended decision**, the intended decision of Council will take effect.

Even if this decision is accepted by the Former Licensee, pursuant to section 242(3) of the Act, the Financial Institutions Commission still has a right to appeal this decision of Council to the Financial Services Tribunal ("FST"). The Financial Institutions Commission has 30 days to file a Notice of Appeal, once Council's decision takes effect. For more information respecting appeals to the FST, please visit their website at [fst.gov.bc.ca](http://fst.gov.bc.ca) or contact them directly at:

Financial Services Tribunal  
PO Box 9425 Stn Prov Govt  
Victoria, British Columbia  
V8W 9V1

Reception: 250-387-3464


Fax: 250-356-9923

Email: [FinancialServicesTribunal@gov.bc.ca](mailto:FinancialServicesTribunal@gov.bc.ca)

Dated in Vancouver, British Columbia, on the **6<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2019**.

Intended Decision  
Stephanie Galpin  
LIC-2017-0007003-R02  
May 6, 2019  
Page 4 of 4

For the Insurance Council of British Columbia

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Janet Sinclair', written over a horizontal line.

Janet Sinclair  
Executive Director  
604-695-2001  
[jsinclair@insurancecouncilofbc.com](mailto:jsinclair@insurancecouncilofbc.com)

JS/jr